

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75-00149R000100010001-2

H1468

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

February 16, 1967

shore, and clearly in international waters. In fact, the captains of the three boats have maintained that the nearest shore was Peru, not Ecuador.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join with me in deploring such conduct evidenced by the Ecuadorian Government. Surely, these boats were within their rights in fishing in international waters.

What we are witnessing is one nation unilaterally determining the extent of its territorial waters. It is time, Mr. Speaker, that an international conference was convened to resolve this continually perplexing issue of what is to be considered territorial waters, and what will be considered international.

I urge the State Department to vigorously protest the unilateral action of the Ecuadorian Government toward these three San Diego tuna clippers. I also urge the State Department and other appropriate government agencies to begin immediately setting in motion the necessary machinery that will lead toward the resolution of the larger problem—the problem of the determination of territorial waters.

WALT DISNEY—A DAY OF APPRECIATION

(Mr. HANNA (at the request of Mr. GONZALEZ) was granted permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. HANNA. Mr. Speaker, just a few short months ago the entire world joined in mourning the passing of Walt Disney. What Walt Disney represented, however, has not died, nor will it ever.

I am introducing a resolution today that asks the President of the United States to declare July 17 of 1967, and every year thereafter, as Walt Disney Appreciation Day.

The idea of observing such a day originated with the City Council of Anaheim, Cal. July 17 was selected for that was the day that Disneyland opened its gates to show this rather serious world that the values of love, humor, and joy were still part of man's spirit.

Mr. Speaker, it will be a most refreshing experience to 1 day each year observe those ideas and ideals that endeared Walt Disney to all the peoples of the world.

At this point in the Record, I place the Anaheim's City Council's resolution entitled a "Day of Appreciation to Walt Disney."

DAY OF APPRECIATION TO WALT DISNEY.

In appreciation to an artist whose genius in all fields of the fine arts and in every means of communications has inspired in all men for all time a personal dream of self-achievement and undying hopes for a better world.

And in appreciation to a builder who fashioned out of dreams and imagination a living domain that will forever live in the hearts of men as real evidence that all good things remain in the realm of the possible.

And in appreciation to a world leader who created in all a new inspiration on the values of his country and the freedom of men everywhere; who established a permanent beacon of world peace through his motives and ideals, and freely exchanged his views with

visiting kings and queens, and heads of state of all nations, and all the people who came to him.

And in appreciation to a man, a warm human being whose personal contributions have made a better world for all children of every age.

Now, therefore, be it resolved, That the City Council of the City of Anaheim invites all people of every community, city, state and nation of the world to join with us in observing July 17, in the year 1967, and every year forever after as Walt Disney Appreciation Day.

FRED T. KREIN, Mayor.
CALVIN L. PEBLEY, Mayor pro tempore.
JACK C. DUTTON, Councilman.
A. J. SCHUTTE, Councilman.
ODRA L. CHANDLER, Councilman.

SUBSIDIZING OF THE NATIONAL STUDENT ASSOCIATION BY THE CIA

(Mr. ABBITT (at the request of Mr. GONZALEZ) was granted permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. ABBITT. Mr. Speaker, last Tuesday, February 14, the Washington Post carried an article which disclosed that the CIA has been subsidizing the National Student Association since the early 1950's. According to the article, the CIA payments to NSA "have in the past exceeded \$100,000" but "has declined to less than \$50,000 annually." Ramparts magazine, in announcing its exposé to be published in the March issue, claimed that "the CIA has infiltrated and subverted the world of American student leaders over the past 15 years" and that "it has used students to spy."

I wish very strongly that the CIA could have "subverted" some of the NSA members to work in behalf of American interests and national policy. Although the vast majority of NSA membership is not Communist nor even sympathetic to Communist positions, a significant influence by Communist-oriented students on NSA policy is beyond question. These students have promoted themselves into positions of influence, especially at the annual conventions where the great majority of NSA members across the country have no idea of what takes place. The conventions have gone on record as supporting the admission of Red China to the United Nations and have seemed to support the North Vietnamese more than our own country. Following the disgraceful riots on the Berkeley campus—instigated and managed largely by nonstudents and by admitted Communists—the NSA national convention voted a \$100 symbolic contribution to the rioters who were arrested. The list of irresponsible and extreme left-wing positions taken by the NSA in the past make one wonder at what the CIA was trying to achieve or what the American taxpayer got for his investment of hundreds of thousands, perhaps millions, of dollars in this organization. NSA president W. Eugene

Groves denies that his organization "carried out intelligence functions" or that any information of a "sensitive nature" had been furnished to our Government.

I believe that the CIA can serve our country well, but the use of the people's money to subsidize an organization with a record like that of the National Student Association raises serious doubts as to the competency and purposes of some of the decisionmakers in the Agency.

While continuing to spend money on an organization which has openly and repeatedly attacked American interests, the CIA might have inquired as to why so many American colleges were either rejecting or withdrawing from NSA. If students on campuses of many of our leading colleges were able to determine the true nature of NSA and effect withdrawal, why then could not the CIA find out a few of the same things.

Insofar as the charge of using students to spy is concerned, is there some reason why students should have less interest in and obligation to serve their country than adults? Although he was a schoolteacher rather than a student, I have never thought of Nathan Hale as a villain or as having been subverted by the intelligence service of George Washington. I certainly am not advocating that all students become agents of the CIA, but I do not like the implication that a student is being subverted or misled when he obtains information for the benefit of his country.

I appear to be both defending and criticizing the CIA, or at least, I hope that I am. I defend the CIA's right and obligation to gather information about and from students which may promote the security and interests of our country. At the same time, I criticize the year-after-year expenditure of large sums of tax funds on an organization such as the National Student Association which was so visibly a bad investment. If the CIA wishes to maintain its relatively free hand in spending money secretly, it must better improve its performance, or Congress will rightly demand greater scrutiny of where the appropriations go.

TO COMBAT THE ARAB BOYCOTT

(Mr. BINGHAM (at the request of Mr. GONZALEZ) was granted permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, for many years now, members of the League of Arab States have been waging an intensive campaign—political, economic, and occasionally military—against the state of Israel. One of the chief weapons in their arsenal has been the use of an international trade boycott against any business concern or individual conducting substantial business operations in Israel.

Naturally, this boycott has also been imposed against American firms since they are a major source of trade with Israel. Some of our largest and most successful corporations have been pressured by the Arab League to either give up existing contacts with Israel, or abandon plans to invest or open plants there.

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75-00149R000100010001-2